

BOUNDING THE EIGENVALUES OF THE LAPLACE-BELTRAMI OPERATOR ON COMPACT SUBMANIFOLDS

BRUNO COLBOIS, EMILY B. DRYDEN, AND AHMAD EL SOUFI

ABSTRACT. We give upper bounds for the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator of a compact m -dimensional submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . Besides the dimension and the volume of the submanifold and the order of the eigenvalue, these bounds depend on either the maximal number of intersection points of M with a p -plane in a generic position (transverse to M), or an invariant which measures the concentration of the volume of M in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . These bounds are asymptotically optimal in the sense of the Weyl law. On the other hand, we show that even for hypersurfaces (i.e., when $p = 1$), the first positive eigenvalue cannot be controlled only in terms of the volume, the dimension and (for $m \geq 3$) the differential structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a compact, connected submanifold without boundary of dimension $m \geq 2$ immersed in a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m+p} with $p \geq 1$; that is, M is the image of a compact smooth manifold \bar{M} of dimension m by an immersion $X : \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ of class C^2 . We denote by g the Riemannian metric naturally induced on \bar{M} (that is, the first fundamental form of the submanifold M) and by Δ the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operator whose spectrum consists in an unbounded sequence of eigenvalues

$$\text{Spec}(\Delta) = \{0 = \lambda_0(M) < \lambda_1(M) \leq \lambda_2(M) \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_k(M) \leq \cdots\}.$$

Consider the k -th eigenvalue as a functional

$$M \mapsto \lambda_k(M)$$

on the space of all immersed m -dimensional submanifolds of fixed volume of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} ; alternatively, consider the normalized dilation-invariant

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J50, 58E11, 35P15 .

Key words and phrases. Laplacian, eigenvalue, upper bound, submanifold.

The third author has benefitted from the support of the ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche) through FOG project ANR-07-BLAN-0251-01.

functional

$$M \mapsto \lambda_k(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m}$$

on the space of all immersed m -dimensional submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . Of course, these two functionals have the same variational properties.

Hersch [16] was the first to obtain a result on these functionals. Indeed, let \mathbb{S}^2 be the standard 2-sphere naturally embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 . Hersch proved that if M is any 2-dimensional immersed surface of genus zero of \mathbb{R}^{2+p} (that is, $M = X(\mathbb{S}^2)$, where $X : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+p}$ is an immersion), then

$$\lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M) \leq \lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2) \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^2) = 8\pi.$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if M has constant Gaussian curvature.

One decade later, Yang and Yau [30] proved that if M is an orientable immersed surface of genus γ (that is, $M = X(\bar{M})$ where \bar{M} is an orientable compact surface of genus γ and $X : \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+p}$ is an immersion), then $\lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M) \leq 8\pi(\gamma + 1)$. This bound has been improved by Ilias and the third author [9] as follows:

$$\lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M) \leq 8\pi \left\lfloor \frac{\gamma + 3}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function.

A similar upper bound was obtained in the nonorientable case by Li and Yau [22]. However, these upper bounds are not optimal in general and the exact value of the supremum of $\lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M)$ among surfaces of fixed topology is known only in the following cases: immersed spheres (Hersch [16]), tori (Nadirashvili [25]), projective planes (Li and Yau [22]) and Klein bottles ([19] and [12]). A conjecture concerning orientable surfaces of genus 2 is stated in [18].

The extension of the result of Yang and Yau to higher order eigenvalues was obtained by Korevaar in [20]: there exists a universal constant $C > 0$ such that for any integer $k \geq 1$ and any compact orientable surface M of genus γ , we have

$$\lambda_k(M) \text{Vol}(M) \leq C(\gamma + 1)k.$$

Note that the estimates given above for the first nonzero eigenvalue λ_1 are based on “barycentric type methods,” i.e., the use of coordinate functions as test functions after a suitable transformation that puts the barycenter at the origin; for a typical example of this classical method, see [9] or [16]. These barycentric methods do not apply to higher order eigenvalues, and Korevaar’s proof required new techniques.

In dimensions three and higher, the situation differs significantly from the 2-dimensional case. Indeed, it follows from the Nash embedding theorem and the result of Dodziuk and the first author [5] that in dimension $m \geq 3$, we have

$$\sup_M \lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} = \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all compact submanifolds M with fixed smooth structure (that is, $M = X(\bar{M})$, where \bar{M} is a fixed compact smooth manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$ and $X : \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ is a smooth immersion from \bar{M} into \mathbb{R}^{m+p} for some $p \geq 1$).

To study extremal properties of the spectrum, it is therefore necessary to impose additional constraints, either of an intrinsic or an extrinsic nature. For example, we can assume that the induced metric g preserves a conformal class of metrics [7, 10, 20], a symplectic or a Kähler structure [27, 2], the action of a Lie group [1, 6, 14], etc. Regarding results with constraints of extrinsic type, a well-known example is given by Reilly's inequality [29, 11]:

$$\lambda_1(M) \leq \frac{m}{\text{Vol}(M)} \|H(M)\|_2^2,$$

where $\|H(M)\|_2$ is the L^2 -norm of the mean curvature vector field of M . More generally, it follows from results of Harrell, Ilias and the third author [13] and the recursion formula of Cheng and Yang [4, Corollary 2.1] that for any positive integer k ,

$$\lambda_k(M) \leq R(m) \|H(M)\|_\infty^2 k^{2/m},$$

where $\|H(M)\|_\infty$ is the L^∞ -norm of $H(M)$ and $R(m)$ is a constant depending only on m .

In this paper, we will focus on other extrinsic constraints. The first one is related to the following invariant: For a compact immersed submanifold M of dimension m in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} , almost all the p -planes Π in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} are transverse to M so that the intersection $\Pi \cap M$ consists of a finite number of points. We define the *intersection index* of M as the supremum

$$i(M) = \sup_{\Pi} \#M \cap \Pi,$$

where Π runs over the set of all p -planes which are transverse to M in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} ; if M is not embedded, we count multiple points of M according to their multiplicity. For instance, the intersection index of a hypersurface M is the “maximal” number of collinear points in M . Note that the invariant $i(M)$ is related to the notion of *width* introduced by Hass, Rubinstein and Thompson [15, Definition 1].

We begin by obtaining an estimate involving the intersection index for the lowest positive eigenvalue λ_1 . Note that B^m denotes the Euclidean ball of radius 1 in \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{S}^m denotes the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^{m+1} .

Theorem 1.1. *For every compact m -dimensional immersed submanifold M of a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m+p} we have*

$$\lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq A(m) \left(\frac{i(M)}{2} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{m}} \lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^m) \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)^{2/m},$$

where $\lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^m) = m$ and $A(m) = \frac{m+2}{2} \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1})} = \frac{m+2}{2} \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}$.

The proof of this theorem again uses the barycenter method.

The result of Theorem 1.1 extends to higher order eigenvalues but with a less explicit upper bound.

Theorem 1.2. *For every compact m -dimensional immersed submanifold M of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} and every positive integer k , we have*

$$\lambda_k(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq c(m) i(M)^{2/m} k^{2/m},$$

where $c(m)$ is a constant depending only on the dimension m of M which is given explicitly by (8) and (9).

The exponent of k in the estimate is asymptotically best possible as follows from the Weyl law. The estimate itself is not sharp, because the constants are not optimal.

For a convex hypersurface we clearly have $i(M) = 2$. Thus,

Corollary 1.1. *If M is a compact convex hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^{m+1} , then*

$$\lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq A(m) \lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^m) \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)^{2/m}$$

and, for all $k \geq 2$,

$$\lambda_k(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq c(m) 2^{2/m} k^{2/m}.$$

When M is a real algebraic hypersurface defined by a polynomial equation of degree N , it is easy to show that $i(M) \leq N$. This implies

Corollary 1.2. *Let P be a real polynomial in $m+1$ variables and of degree N such that $M = P^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ is a compact hypersurface. Then, for all $k \geq 1$,*

$$\lambda_k(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq c(m) N^{2/m} k^{2/m}.$$

A more general result, in arbitrary codimension, will be given in Corollary 4.1.

These results tell us that to the extent that the intersection index of M is controlled, the spectrum of M is also controlled. In fact, Theorem

1.2 can be understood as a consequence of a more abstract result given in Theorem 1.3 below. It turns out that control of the intersection index $i(M)$ suffices to give control on the “concentration of the volume” of M in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 1.1. *Let $m \geq 2$ and $p \geq 1$ be two integers and let L be a positive real number. We denote by $\mathcal{M}(m, p, L)$ the class of all m -dimensional compact immersed submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ and all $r > 0$,*

$$\text{Vol}_m(B(x, r) \cap M) \leq L r^m,$$

where $B(x, r)$ denotes the Euclidean ball of center x and radius $r > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} .

The eigenvalues of submanifolds in $\mathcal{M}(m, p, L)$ are uniformly controlled. Indeed, one has the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let $m \geq 2$ and $p \geq 1$ be two integers and let L be a positive real number. For all $M \in \mathcal{M}(m, p, L)$ and all $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\lambda_k(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq C(m) L^{2/m} k^{2/m},$$

where $C(m)$ is a constant depending only on m given explicitly in (8).

The result of Dodziuk and the first author [5] together with Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3 and Reilly’s inequality tell us that, given a smooth manifold \bar{M} of dimension $m \geq 3$, there exist Riemannian metrics g of volume one on \bar{M} such that any immersion of \bar{M} into a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m+p} which preserves g must have a very large intersection index, very large total mean curvature, and volume which concentrates into a small Euclidean ball. More precisely,

Corollary 1.3. *Let \bar{M} be a compact smooth manifold of dimension $m \geq 3$. For every integer $K > 0$, there exists a Riemannian metric g_K of volume one on \bar{M} such that, for **any** isometric immersion X from (\bar{M}, g_K) into a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{m+p} (with arbitrary p), the submanifold $M = X(\bar{M})$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) *there exists a p -plane $\Pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ transverse to M which intersects M at least K times.*
- (2) $\|H(M)\|_2 > K$
- (3) *there exists a Euclidean ball $B(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ such that the volume of the portion of M lying in $B(x, r)$ is larger than the volume of K Euclidean balls of radius r and dimension m , that is*

$$1 \geq \text{Vol}_m(B(x, r) \cap M) > K \text{Vol}_m(B^m) r^m.$$

In the three theorems above, there is no restriction on the codimension of the submanifold. Therefore, our bounds on the eigenvalues depend on purely extrinsic assumptions, in the sense that all differential structures and Riemannian metrics are allowed. An interesting question is to know what happens if the codimension is assumed to be 1.

Is the first eigenvalue bounded upon the set of all compact hypersurfaces of \mathbb{R}^{m+1} of fixed volume and (for $m \geq 3$) fixed smooth structure?

We conclude by providing a negative answer to this question. Indeed, putting together results from the literature, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.4. (1) *There exists a sequence of compact orientable surfaces M_n embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 such that*

$$\lambda_1(M_n) \text{Vol}(M_n) \longrightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

- (2) *Let p_0 be a positive integer and let M be any compact smooth submanifold of dimension $m \geq 3$ of \mathbb{R}^{m+p_0} . Then there exists a sequence M_n of smooth submanifolds embedded in \mathbb{R}^{m+p_0} all diffeomorphic to M , such that*

$$\lambda_1(M_n) \text{Vol}(M_n)^{2/m} \longrightarrow \infty$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In other words, for any fixed compact submanifold M of dimension $m \geq 3$ of \mathbb{R}^{m+p_0} ,

$$\sup_X \lambda_1(X(M)) \text{Vol}(X(M))^{2/m} = \infty$$

where the supremum is taken over all embeddings from M into \mathbb{R}^{m+p_0} .

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we study the volume of the projection of an m -dimensional submanifold onto an m -plane and explain how the control on the intersection index implies a control on the volume concentration. In section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1 using the barycenter method and estimates from section 2. In section 4 we give the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.2. In the last section we explain how we get Theorem 1.4.

2. VOLUME OF ORTHOGONAL PROJECTIONS ONTO m -PLANES

Let M be an immersed submanifold of dimension $m \geq 2$ in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . In this section, we do not need to assume that M is compact but only that M has finite volume and finite intersection index $i(M)$.

We begin by proving that there exists an m -dimensional linear subspace $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ such that the volume of the image of M under the orthogonal projection on H is bounded below in terms of $\text{Vol}(M)$ and $i(M)$.

Let $G := G(m, m+p)$ be the Grassmannian of m -planes through the origin in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . To each m -plane H in G we associate the orthogonal projection $\pi_H : M \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+p} \rightarrow H$. From the definition of $i(M)$ it is immediate that, generically, at most $i(M)$ points on M have the same image under π_H . The volumes of $\pi_H(M)$ and of M are related as in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *We endow G with its $O(n)$ -invariant Radon measure of total volume one (see, e.g., [23]). Then,*

$$\int_G \text{Vol}(\pi_H(M)) dH \geq \frac{2}{i(M)} \frac{\text{Vol}(B^m)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)} \text{Vol}(M),$$

$$\text{with } \frac{\text{Vol}(B^m)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)} = \frac{1}{m\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{m+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{2})}.$$

Proof. Let $H \in G$ be an m -plane through the origin endowed with its standard volume element v_H . Let θ_H be the function on M defined by

$$\pi_H^* v_H = \theta_H v_M,$$

where v_M is the Riemannian volume element of M . If ξ_1, \dots, ξ_m constitute an orthonormal basis of the tangent space to M at a point x , then

$$\theta_H(x) = \pm \det(\pi_H(\xi_1(x)), \dots, \pi_H(\xi_m(x))),$$

where the determinant is taken with respect to an orthonormal basis of H .

Since a generic point in $\pi_H(M)$ has at most $i(M)$ preimages under the map $\pi_H : M \rightarrow \pi_H(M) \subset H$, one easily checks that

$$\int_M |\pi_H^* v_H| = \int_M |\theta_H(x)| v_M \leq i(M) \int_{\pi_H(M)} v_H = i(M) \text{Vol}(\pi_H(M)).$$

Integrating over G we get

$$\begin{aligned} i(M) \int_G \text{Vol}(\pi_H(M)) dH &\geq \int_G dH \int_M |\theta_H(x)| v_M \\ &= \int_M \left(\int_G |\theta_H(x)| dH \right) v_M. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Now, from the definition of θ_H and the invariance of the measure of G , we easily deduce that the integral $I(G) = \int_G |\theta_H(x)| dH$ does not depend on the point x . Indeed, if $\rho \in O(n)$ is such that $\rho \cdot T_y M =$

$T_x M$, then for all $H \in G$ and $V \in T_y M$, $\rho \cdot \pi_H(V) = \pi_{\rho \cdot H}(\rho \cdot V)$ and $|\theta_H(y)| = |\theta_{\rho \cdot H}(x)|$. Hence,

$$i(M) \int_G \text{Vol}(\pi_H(M)) dH \geq I(G) \text{Vol}(M). \quad (2)$$

To determine the value of $I(G)$, we consider the case of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^m \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$. In this case, $i(\mathbb{S}^m) = 2$ and, for all $H \in G$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\theta_H(x)| v_{\mathbb{S}^m} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\pi_H^* v_H| = 2 \text{Vol}(\pi_H(\mathbb{S}^m)) = 2 \text{Vol}(B^m).$$

Hence,

$$I(G) \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m) = \int_G dH \int_{\mathbb{S}^m} |\theta_H(x)| v_{\mathbb{S}^m} = 2 \text{Vol}(B^m).$$

Substituting into (2) we obtain the desired inequality. \square

Remark 2.1. *One can prove that the preceding lemma holds for more general functions than projections. However, this makes both the statement and the proof of the result more complicated, and we will not need this full generality in what follows.*

Corollary 2.1. *There exists an m -plane $H \in G$ such that*

$$\text{Vol}(\pi_H(M)) \geq \frac{2}{i(M)} \frac{\text{Vol}(B^m)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)} \text{Vol}(M).$$

It is possible to apply these considerations to the intersection of M with a Euclidean ball $B(x, r)$ of center x and radius $r > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . Therefore, there exists an m -plane $H \in G$ (depending on x and r) such that

$$\text{Vol}(\pi_H(M \cap B(x, r))) \geq \frac{2}{i(M)} \frac{\text{Vol}(B^m)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)} \text{Vol}(M \cap B(x, r)).$$

On the other hand, $\pi_H(M \cap B(x, r))$ is contained in $\pi_H(B(x, r))$, which is an m -dimensional Euclidean ball of radius r in the m -plane H ; thus

$$\text{Vol}(\pi_H(M \cap B(x, r))) \leq r^m \text{Vol}(B^m).$$

Hence, we have also proved the following

Proposition 2.1. *For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ and all $r > 0$, we have*

$$\text{Vol}(M \cap B(x, r)) \leq \frac{i(M)}{2} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m) r^m.$$

Roughly speaking, the control of $i(M)$ ensures that M cannot concentrate in small parts of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} .

3. ESTIMATING λ_1 : PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we prove the estimate of Theorem 1.1 using the barycenter method. Recall that $M = X(\bar{M})$ is an m -dimensional compact immersed submanifold in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . We denote by d_{m+p} and d_m the usual “distance to origin” functions in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} and \mathbb{R}^m , respectively.

Up to a displacement, we can assume that the center of mass of M is at the origin so that, for $1 \leq i \leq m+p$,

$$\int_M x_i v_M = 0$$

where v_M denotes the induced volume element on M . Hence, for each $1 \leq i \leq m+p$, we have

$$\lambda_1(M) \int_M x_i^2 v_M \leq \int_M |\nabla x_i|^2 v_M. \quad (3)$$

Let $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^m$ be an orthonormal basis for the tangent space to M at a point x , and let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{m+p}$ be the standard orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m+p} |\nabla x_i|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+p} \sum_{k=1}^m \langle \nabla x_i, \xi_k \rangle^2 \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^{m+p} \langle e_i, \xi_k \rangle^2 = m. \end{aligned}$$

Summation in (3) thus gives

$$\lambda_1(M) \int_M |x|^2 v_M \leq m \text{Vol}(M). \quad (4)$$

The key now is to obtain a lower bound for the integral $\int_M |x|^2 v_M$, often called “moment of inertia,” which may also be written $\int_M d_{m+p}^2(x) v_M$. To this aim, we will use Corollary 2.1 and the following

Lemma 3.1. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^m . Then*

$$\int_{\Omega} d_m^2(x) dx \geq \int_{\Omega^*} d_m^2(x) dx,$$

where Ω^* denotes the Euclidean ball in \mathbb{R}^m centered at the origin and with the same volume as Ω .

A short proof of this classical result (see, e.g., [28, p.153]), kindly communicated by Asmaa Hasannezhad, goes as follows: if Ω^* is a ball

of radius R we have $\Omega = (\Omega \cap \Omega^*) \cup (\Omega \setminus \Omega^*)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} d_m^2(x) dx &= \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega^*} d_m^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^*} d_m^2(x) dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega \cap \Omega^*} d_m^2(x) dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega^*} R^2 dx \\ &\geq \int_{\Omega^*} d_m^2(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $\text{Vol}(\Omega \setminus \Omega^*) = \text{Vol}(\Omega^* \setminus \Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From Corollary 2.1, there exists an m -plane $H \in G$ such that

$$\text{Vol}(\pi_H(M)) \geq \frac{2}{i(M)} \frac{\text{Vol}(B^m)}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)} \text{Vol}(M). \quad (5)$$

The idea is to compare the situations on M and on $\Omega = \pi_H(M)$. Since the projection π_H is length-decreasing, we have

$$\int_M d_{m+p}^2(x) v_M \geq \int_M d_m^2(\pi_H(x)) v_M.$$

Note that there is a substantial loss of information when we perform the projection π_H ; in particular, this inequality can be seen to be strict even in the case $M = \mathbb{S}^m$.

On the other hand, since M is compact, almost every point of $\Omega = \pi_H(M)$ admits at least two preimages in M . Thus

$$2 \int_{\Omega} d_m^2(x) v_H \leq \int_M d_m^2(\pi_H(x)) |\pi_H^* v_H| \leq \int_M d_m^2(\pi_H(x)) v_M,$$

where the inequality on the right is due to the fact that π_H is volume decreasing (with the notation of §2, $\pi_H^* v_H = \theta_H v_M$ with $|\theta_H| \leq 1$). Consequently,

$$\int_M d_{m+p}^2(x) v_M \geq 2 \int_{\Omega} d_m^2(x) dx.$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 we get, through obvious identification of H with \mathbb{R}^m ,

$$\int_M d_{m+p}^2(x) v_M \geq 2 \int_{\Omega} d_m^2(x) dx \geq 2 \int_{\Omega^*} d_m^2(x) dx, \quad (6)$$

where Ω^* is the ball in H centered at the origin with $\text{Vol}(\Omega^*) = \text{Vol}(\Omega)$. If we denote by ρ the radius of the ball Ω^* , then we have

$$\int_{\Omega^*} d_m^2(x) dx = \rho^{m+2} \int_{B^m} |x|^2 dx$$

and

$$\text{Vol}(\Omega^*) = \rho^m \text{Vol}(B^m).$$

Hence,

$$\rho = \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\Omega^*)}{\text{Vol}(B^m)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} = \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\pi_H(M))}{\text{Vol}(B^m)} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega^*} d_m^2(x) v_H = \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\pi_H(M))}{\text{Vol}(B^m)} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B^m} |x|^2 dx.$$

Combining this last equality with (6) and (5) above, we get the following lower bound for $\int_M d_{m+p}^2(x) v_M$:

$$\int_M d_{m+p}^2(x) v_M \geq 2 \left(\frac{2\text{Vol}(M)}{i(M)\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B^m} |x|^2 dx. \quad (7)$$

When we put this estimate into (4) we get

$$2\lambda_1(M) \left(\frac{2\text{Vol}(M)}{i(M)\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{m}} \int_{B^m} |x|^2 dx \leq m\text{Vol}(M);$$

rearranging terms gives

$$\lambda_1(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}{2 \int_{B^m} |x|^2 dx} m \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)^{2/m} \left(\frac{i(M)}{2} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{m}},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 since

$$\int_{B^m} |x|^2 dx = \frac{1}{m+2} \text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}).$$

□

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.2 AND THEOREM 1.3

A classical way to construct test functions for the Rayleigh quotient on a Riemannian manifold is to consider a family of k mutually disjoint geodesic balls of radius $2r$, and a corresponding family of cut-off functions. Each cut-off function takes the value 1 on one geodesic ball of radius r and 0 outside the corresponding ball of radius $2r$. To estimate the Rayleigh quotient we need some information about the local geometry of M , in general in terms of a lower bound on the Ricci curvature so that Bishop-Gromov volume comparison holds. This method is not convenient for the eigenvalues of the Neumann Laplacian on a domain or for the eigenvalues of a compact submanifold. In [8], Maerten and the first author introduced a more elaborate family of sets. The construction that they propose is a metric one and can be adapted to various situations. We explain the method in the case of submanifolds.

Let M be a compact, connected immersed submanifold of dimension $m \geq 2$ in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} and let $L > 0$ be such that $M \in \mathcal{M}(m, p, L)$. We endow \mathbb{R}^{m+p} with the Euclidean distance d and the Borel measure μ with support in M defined by:

$$\mu(A) := \text{Vol}_m(M \cap A).$$

The hypotheses (H1) and (H2) of section 2 of [8] are satisfied. In the present situation, (H1) states that we can cover a ball in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} of radius $4r$ by a bounded number of balls of radius r , while (H2) states that the measure of the r -balls tends uniformly to zero with the radius r . Indeed, in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} , the number $C(r)$ of balls of radius r we need to cover a ball of radius $4r$ is controlled independently of r ; for example, we can take $C(r) \leq 8^{m+p}$ (see Example 2.1 of [8] for a more general estimate). On the other hand, the fact that M is in $\mathcal{M}(m, p, L)$ implies that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ and $r > 0$,

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq Lr^m.$$

Thus $\mu(B(x, r))$ tends to zero with r uniformly with respect to x . Therefore, Corollary 2.3 of [8] enables us to state the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let K be a positive integer and α a positive real number with $\alpha \leq \frac{\omega}{2 \cdot 8^{m+p} K}$, where $\omega = \mu(\mathbb{R}^{m+p}) = \text{Vol}(M)$. Let $r > 0$ be such that*

$$2 \sup \{ 8^{m+p} \mu(B(x, r)) ; x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} \} \leq \alpha.$$

Then there exist K measurable subsets $A_1, \dots, A_K \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ such that $\mu(A_i) \geq \alpha$ and, for each $i \neq j$, $d(A_i, A_j) \geq 3r$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Our ultimate goal is to construct $k+1$ disjointly supported test functions on M whose Rayleigh quotients are controlled in terms of L and k . First, let $K = 2k+1$ and let A_1, \dots, A_K be K disjoint measurable subsets in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} satisfying Proposition 4.1 with $\alpha = \frac{\omega}{6 \cdot 8^{m+p} k} = \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{6 \cdot 8^{m+p} k}$. Denote by $A_i^r = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} ; d(x, A_i) < r\}$ the r -neighborhood of A_i . A priori, we have no control over the volume of the portion of M contained in A_i^r , so we will make a choice of $k+1$ sets amongst our disjoint $2k+1$ measurable subsets. Namely, since $d(A_i, A_j) \geq 3r$ for $i \neq j$, the A_i^r are mutually disjoint and it is clear that the number

$$Q = \# \left\{ i \in 1, \dots, 2k+1 ; \mu(A_i^r) \geq \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{k} \right\}$$

is less than k . Therefore, there exist at least $k+1$ subsets, say A_1, \dots, A_{k+1} , amongst A_1, \dots, A_K with the property that $\mu(A_i^r) < \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{k}$. From the definition of μ , the $k+1$ disjoint measurable sets $A_1^r \cap M, \dots, A_{k+1}^r \cap M$

also satisfy this estimate. By abuse of notation, we will refer to these sets on M as A_i^r as well.

As in [8], we construct a family of test functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{k+1}$ on M as follows: for $i \leq k+1$, φ_i is equal to 1 on A_i , vanishes outside the r -neighborhood A_i^r of A_i and $\varphi_i(x) = 1 - \frac{d(x, A_i)}{r}$ on $A_i^r \setminus A_i$. Observing that $|\nabla \varphi_i(x)| \leq \frac{1}{r}$ almost everywhere in $A_i^r \setminus A_i$, a straightforward calculation shows that the Rayleigh quotient of φ_i is given by

$$R(\varphi_i) \leq \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\mu(A_i^r)}{\mu(A_i)} < \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{k}}{\alpha} = \frac{6}{r^2} 8^{m+p}.$$

Recall that the number r must be chosen such that

$$2 \cdot 8^{m+p} \mu(B(x, r)) \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{6k8^{m+p}}.$$

But, since $M \in \mathcal{M}(m, p, L)$,

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq Lr^m$$

and we can take

$$r = \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{Lk} \frac{1}{12 \cdot 8^{2(m+p)}} \right)^{1/m}.$$

Therefore, the estimate of the Rayleigh quotient above becomes

$$R(\varphi_i) \leq \left(\frac{k}{\text{Vol}(M)} \right)^{2/m} L^{2/m} C(m, p)$$

with $C(m, p) = 6 \cdot 8^{m+p} (12 \cdot 8^{2(m+p)})^{2/m}$.

Invoking the min-max principle, one deduces the estimate

$$\lambda_k(M) \leq \max_{i \leq k+1} R(\varphi_i) \leq \left(\frac{k}{\text{Vol}(M)} \right)^{2/m} L^{2/m} C(m, p).$$

Since $\lambda_k(M)$ is an intrinsic invariant, the Nash embedding theorem [26] says that one can assume without loss of generality that the codimension p is uniformly bounded above in terms of m . Hence the constant $C(m, p)$, which is increasing in p , can be replaced by a constant $C(m)$ which depends only on the dimension m . In particular, we may take [26] $p = 2m^2 + 5m$ to get

$$C(m) = 6 \cdot 12^{2/m} \cdot 8^{2m^2+14m+24}. \quad (8)$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Thanks to Proposition 2.1, Theorem 1.2 can be immediately derived as a consequence of Theorem 1.3 with $L = \frac{i(M)\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}{2}$. This gives

$$c(m) = C(m) \cdot \left(\frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^m)}{2} \right)^{2/m}. \quad (9)$$

□

Combining Theorem 1.2 with a result of Milnor [24] gives

Corollary 4.1. *Let P_1, \dots, P_p be p real polynomials in $m + p$ variables of degrees N_1, \dots, N_p , respectively, such that $M = P_1^{-1}(0) \cap \dots \cap P_p^{-1}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ is a compact m -dimensional submanifold. Then, for all $k \geq 1$,*

$$\lambda_k(M) \text{Vol}(M)^{2/m} \leq c(m) N_1^{2/m} \dots N_p^{2/m} k^{2/m}.$$

Proof of Corollary 4.1. A p -plane Π in \mathbb{R}^{m+p} is defined as the common zero set of m linearly independent polynomials P_{p+1}, \dots, P_{p+m} , each of degree 1. The zero-dimensional variety $\Pi \cap M$ is then given by the $m + p$ polynomial equations $P_1 = 0, \dots, P_{p+m} = 0$. According to [24, Lemma 1], the number of points in $\Pi \cap M$ is at most equal to the product $(\deg P_1) \dots (\deg P_{p+m}) = N_1 N_2 \dots N_p$, which implies

$$i(M) \leq N_1 N_2 \dots N_p.$$

Applying Theorem 1.2, we get the result. □

5. SUBMANIFOLDS OF UNIT VOLUME AND LARGE λ_1

The proof of Theorem 1.4 relies on the following C^1 isometric embedding result due to Kuiper [21]: If a compact m -dimensional smooth manifold M admits a C^1 embedding as a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} , $p \geq 1$, then, given any Riemannian metric g on M , there exists a C^1 isometric embedding from (M, g) into \mathbb{R}^{m+p} .

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let M be a compact smooth submanifold of dimension $m \geq 3$ of \mathbb{R}^{m+p} , $p \geq 1$, and let K be any positive number. According to the result by Dodziuk and the first author [5], there exists a Riemannian metric g on M with

$$\lambda_1(g) \text{Vol}(g)^{2/m} \geq 2K.$$

Applying Kuiper's result cited above, there exists a C^1 isometric embedding Y from (M, g) into \mathbb{R}^{m+p} . According to standard density theorems (e.g., [17, p. 50]), the map Y can be approximated, with arbitrary accuracy with respect to the C^1 -topology, by a smooth embedding X . The smooth metric g_1 induced by X is then quasi-isometric to g with

a quasi-isometry ratio arbitrarily close to 1. Consequently, there exists a smooth embedding X satisfying

$$\lambda_1(X(M))\text{Vol}(X(M))^{2/m} = \lambda_1(g_1)\text{Vol}(g_1)^{2/m} \geq K.$$

This proves assertion (2).

To prove assertion (1) we use a similar argument. The result of Colbois-Dodziuk is not valid in dimension 2, so we instead apply a result due to Buser et al. [3]: they use an arithmetic construction involving subgroups of the modular group to obtain noncompact hyperbolic surfaces. After a suitable compactification, these are compact orientable hyperbolic surfaces with large genus and with large first eigenvalue (see also [7, Thm. C]). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Miguel Abreu and Pedro Freitas. On the invariant spectrum of S^1 -invariant metrics on S^2 . *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 84(1):213–230, 2002.
- [2] Jean-Pierre Bourguignon, Peter Li, and Shing-Tung Yau. Upper bound for the first eigenvalue of algebraic submanifolds. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 69(2):199–207, 1994.
- [3] Peter Buser, Marc Burger, and Jozef Dodziuk. Riemann surfaces of large genus and large λ_1 . In *Geometry and analysis on manifolds (Katata/Kyoto, 1987)*, volume 1339 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 54–63. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [4] Qing-Ming Cheng and Hongcang Yang. Bounds on eigenvalues of Dirichlet Laplacian. *Math. Ann.*, 337(1):159–175, 2007.
- [5] B. Colbois and J. Dodziuk. Riemannian metrics with large λ_1 . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 122(3):905–906, 1994.
- [6] Bruno Colbois, Emily B. Dryden, and Ahmad El Soufi. Extremal G -invariant eigenvalues of the Laplacian of G -invariant metrics. *Math. Z.*, 258(1):29–41, 2008.
- [7] Bruno Colbois and Ahmad El Soufi. Extremal eigenvalues of the Laplacian in a conformal class of metrics: the ‘conformal spectrum’. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 24(4):337–349, 2003.
- [8] Bruno Colbois and Daniel Maerten. Eigenvalues estimate for the Neumann problem of the bounded domain. *J. Geom. Analysis*, 18(4):1022–1032, 2008.
- [9] A. El Soufi and S. Ilias. Le volume conforme et ses applications d’après Li et Yau. In *Séminaire de Théorie Spectrale et Géométrie, Année 1983–1984*, pages VII.1–VII.15. Univ. Grenoble I, Institut Fourier, 1984.
- [10] A. El Soufi and S. Ilias. Immersions minimales, première valeur propre du laplacien et volume conforme. *Math. Ann.*, 275(2):257–267, 1986.
- [11] A. El Soufi and S. Ilias. Une inégalité du type “Reilly” pour les sous-variétés de l’espace hyperbolique. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 67(2):167–181, 1992.
- [12] Ahmad El Soufi, Hector Giacomini, and Mustapha Jazar. A unique extremal metric for the least eigenvalue of the Laplacian on the Klein bottle. *Duke Math. J.*, 135(1):181–202, 2006.

- [13] Ahmad El Soufi, Evans M. Harrell, II, and Saïd Ilias. Universal inequalities for the eigenvalues of Laplace and Schrödinger operators on submanifolds. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 361(5):2337–2350, 2009.
- [14] Martin Engman. Sharp bounds for eigenvalues and multiplicities on surfaces of revolution. *Pacific J. Math.*, 186(1):29–37, 1998.
- [15] J. Hass, J.H. Rubinstein, and A. Thompson. Knots and k-width. *arXiv:math/0604256v1*, 2006.
- [16] Joseph Hersch. Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 270:A1645–A1648, 1970.
- [17] Morris W. Hirsch. *Differential topology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 33.
- [18] Dmitry Jakobson, Michael Levitin, Nikolai Nadirashvili, Nilima Nigam, and Iosif Polterovich. How large can the first eigenvalue be on a surface of genus two? *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (63):3967–3985, 2005.
- [19] Dmitry Jakobson, Nikolai Nadirashvili, and Iosif Polterovich. Extremal metric for the first eigenvalue on a Klein bottle. *Canad. J. Math.*, 58(2):381–400, 2006.
- [20] Nicholas Korevaar. Upper bounds for eigenvalues of conformal metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 37(1):73–93, 1993.
- [21] Nicolaas H. Kuiper. On C^1 -isometric imbeddings. I, II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **58** = *Indag. Math.*, 17:545–556, 683–689, 1955.
- [22] Peter Li and Shing Tung Yau. A new conformal invariant and its applications to the Willmore conjecture and the first eigenvalue of compact surfaces. *Invent. Math.*, 69(2):269–291, 1982.
- [23] Pertti Mattila. *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*, volume 44 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Fractals and rectifiability.
- [24] J. Milnor. On the Betti numbers of real varieties. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15:275–280, 1964.
- [25] N. Nadirashvili. Berger’s isoperimetric problem and minimal immersions of surfaces. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 6(5):877–897, 1996.
- [26] John Nash. The imbedding problem for Riemannian manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 63:20–63, 1956.
- [27] Leonid Polterovich. Symplectic aspects of the first eigenvalue. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 502:1–17, 1998.
- [28] G. Pólya and G. Szegő. *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 27. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1951.
- [29] Robert C. Reilly. On the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian for compact submanifolds of Euclidean space. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 52(4):525–533, 1977.
- [30] Paul C. Yang and Shing Tung Yau. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 7(1):55–63, 1980.

UNIVERSITÉ DE NEUCHÂTEL, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, RUE EMILE-
ARGAND 11, CASE POSTALE 158, 2009 NEUCHÂTEL SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: `bruno.colbois@unine.ch`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BUCKNELL UNIVERSITY, LEWISBURG, PA
17837, USA
E-mail address: `ed012@bucknell.edu`

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, UMR-CNRS
6083, UNIVERSITÉ FRANÇOIS RABELAIS DE TOURS, PARC DE GRANDMONT,
37200 TOURS, FRANCE
E-mail address: `elsoufi@univ-tours.fr`